

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CRAIG SPURLOCK,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY  
COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-00467-JHC

**SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER RE:  
MOTIONS IN LIMINE**


This matter comes before the Court on the parties' motions in limine. Dkt. ## 76 & 77. Upon further consideration, including review of the parties supplemental briefing, Dkt. ## 94 & 98, the Court rules as follows:

- Plaintiff's Motion in Limine 21: GRANTED to the extent that David Mandt may not testify that State Farm was reasonable or acted reasonably. *See United States Fid. & Guar. Co. v. Ulbricht*, 576 F. Supp. 3d 850, 858 (W.D. Wash. 2021).
- Defendant's Motion in Limine M: GRANTED.

With respect to each remaining motion in limine on which ruling has been

1 reserved, the Court will address the issue if it arises. **If a party seeks to refer to any**  
2 **information or material covered by any such motion on which the Court has reserved**  
3 **ruling, that party shall first raise the issue with the Court outside the presence of the**  
4 **jury.**

5 DATED this 6th day of January, 2024.

6  
7   
8 JOHN H. CHUN  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27